



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,794	04/27/2000	Jeffrey T. Palumbo	49464-(849)	2665

7590 01/03/2003

Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman  
Intellectual Property Practice Group  
EDWARDS & ANGELL  
P.O. Box 9169  
Boston, MA 02209

EXAMINER

SHORT, PATRICIA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1712

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Please mail  
attachment with  
Advisory Action

Thurs  
P. Short



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

13

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

### ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

#### PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
b) ☐ In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.  
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);  
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);  
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

4. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  
See attachment  
5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment  
7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  
8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  
Claim(s) allowed: none  
Claim(s) objected to: 27-29, 34-36, 54, 59  
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13, 17-22, 37-47, 50-53, 60-63  
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_  
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.  
10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
11. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

TERICIA A. SHORT  
PATENT EXAMINER

Patricia A Short

Art Unit: 1712

**ADVISORY ACTION**

4. The reply has overcome the following rejections: the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 1-13, 17-23, 27-29, 34-47, 50-55 and 59-63, the 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejections of claims 1-13, 17-22, 35, 36, 50-54 and 60-63 over each of Lin and Policastro and the 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejections of claims 50-54 and 62 over each of Hallgren and Eichenauer.

6. The rejection of claims 37-47 under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 over each of Lin, Policastro, Hallgren and Eichenauer is maintained for reasons of record. These claims do not depend from either of claims 1 or 50. The rejection of claims 1-13, 17-22, 37-37, 50-53 and 60-63 under 35 U.S.C. 102/103 over Peters "158 is maintained for reasons of record. Peters teaches block copolymers that have a polyolefin block and a polyester block. See examples 12-15 and 18.

P. Short

December 31, 2002

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9311

PATRICIA A. SHORT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*Patricia A Short*